

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			

09/442,489

ELEVENTH FLOOR

1001 G STREET NW

BANNER & WITCOFF LTD

WASHINGTON DC 20001-4597

11/18/99

VOGELSTEIN

В

01107.78817

 ~ 1

HM12/0912

HM12/U91

EXAMINER

VANDER VEGT, F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1644

Ģ

DATE MAILED:

09/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/442,489

Applicant(s)

Vogelstein et al

Examiner

F. Pierre VanderVegt

Group Art Unit 1644

t Unit 44

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
_ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set the larger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ected to by the Examiner.
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All	of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-152 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	No(s)5

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/442,489

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a reissue of application serial number 08/452,654, which is a division of 08/289,548, which is a division of 07/741,940.

Claims 1-8 are currently pending in this application.

5

1. The Examiner and Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Examiner F. Pierre VanderVegt of Group Art Unit 1644.

10

15

20

25

Reissue Applications

2. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

The clause regarding "willful false statements ..." required by 37 CFR 1.68 has been partially omitted. It is noted that Applicant has submitted the statement as paragraph (7), however the portion of the statement pertaining to any patent issued from the application is missing.

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office Action.

4. This reissue application was filed without the required offer to surrender the original patent or, if the original is lost or inaccessible, an affidavit or declaration to that effect. The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Specification

Application/Control Number: 09/442,489 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

5

10

15

20

25

Applicant is invited to carefully scan the instant specification for typographical and grammatical errors beyond those already identified. For example, the recitation of "both am implicated" at column 15, line 10 and the recitation of "exons of the AP_C gene" at column 17, line 45. Such errors should be corrected in the same manner other changes were made in this reissue application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to a preparation of antibodies which bind to a human adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) protein which is the product of a mutant allele. The written description in this case only sets forth amino acid and nucleic acid sequences for human APC and the mutants identified in Table II, and therefore the written description is not commensurate in scope with the claims drawn to "mutants" comprising the full genus of any changes to the nucleic acid molecule defined by SEQ ID NO:1, whether it be silent or expressed as an alteration to the amino acid sequence of the encoded protein.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar ((CAFC, 1991) 19 USPQ2d 1111), clearly states that "Applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1117). The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116).

Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 USC 112 is severable from its enablement provision (see *Vas-Cath* at page 1115).

With the exception of the sequences encoding human APC and the mutants identified in Table II, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed structure of the encompassed nucleic acid

Application/Control Number: 09/442,489 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

5

10

15

20

25

sequences and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and a reference to a potential method of isolating it. The nucleic acid itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, ((CAFC, 1993) 25 USPQ 2d 1601) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, ((CAFC, 1991) 18 USPQ2d 1016).

Furthermore, In *The Reagents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly* ((CAFC, 1997) 43 USPQ2d 1398), the court held that a generic statement which defines a genus of nucleic acids without distinguishing that genus from others, except by their function, does not provide an adequate written description of the genus. The court indicated that while Applicants are not required to disclose every species encompassed by a genus, the description of a genus is achieved by the recitation of a representative number of DNA molecules, usually defined by a nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the claimed genus. At section B(1), the court states that "An adequate written description of a DNA...'requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties', not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention."

The sole support for additional species of human APC is provided in the specification at column 5, lines 21-49 for example, where it is disclosed that mutations encompass any changes in the nucleotide sequence of APC alleles, the germline changes disclosed in Table IIA and in the disclosure of the point mutations in the APC gene so far discovered in sporadic colorectal cancers which are summarized in Table IIB. This is insufficient to support the recitation in the claims of "mutants" as provided by the Interim Written Description Guidelines published in the June 15, 1998 Federal Register at Volume 63, Number 114, pages 32639-32645.

Therefore, nucleic acid molecules which encode human APC and the mutants identified in Table II, but not the full breadth of the claims generically drawn to mutants of human APC, meet the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Analysis of the number of mutant and wild-type PCR clones obtained from each of these tumors showed that in two of the four cases, the wild-type sequence was present in approximately

Application/Control Number: 09/442,489 Page 5

Art Unit: 1644

5

10

15

20

25

equal proportions to the mutant. This was confirmed by RFLP analysis using flanking markers from chromosome 5q which demonstrated that only two of the ten tumors (T135 and T201) exhibited an allelic deletion on chromosome 5q. These results are consistent with previous observations showing that 20-40% of sporadic colorectal tumors had allelic deletions of chromosome 5q. Moreover, these data suggest that mutations of 5q21 genes are not limited to those colorectal tumors which contain allelic deletions of this chromosome."

Claim 3 is included because, although the claim recites a number of specific mutation loci, the claim is not limited to these loci. The claim is written in an open language format, reciting "wherein the mutant allele contains" (emphasis added for clarity) and therefore encompasses any change to the sequence, not just those at the recited loci.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is ambiguous and unclear in the recitation of "amino acid sequence as shown in SEQ ID NO:1" in line 3 of the claim. SEQ ID NO:1 is a nucleic acid molecule and therefore contains no amino acid residues.

Conclusion

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1640 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The fax phone number for official documents to be entered into the record for Art Unit 1644 is (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to F. Pierre VanderVegt, whose telephone number is (703)305-6997. The Examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday and odd-numbered Mondays (on year 2000 366-day calender) from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm ET. A message may be left on the Examiner's

Application/Control Number: 09/442,489

Art Unit: 1644

Page 6

voice mail service. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ms. Christina Chan can be reached at (703)308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist, whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

5

10

F. Pierre VanderVegt, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

September 8, 2000

PATENT EXAMINER

PATENT EXAMINER